$\hat{\rho}=$ $\qquad$ $\rho_{0}=$ $\qquad$ $\alpha=$ $\qquad$ n = $\qquad$

Population
Focus Proportion

## Step I Identify Procedure:

We want to test the evidence against the claim that the proportion of $\qquad$ in the population of $\qquad$
$\square$ is equal to $\qquad$ $\%\left(\rho_{0}\right)$.

The null and alternative hypotheses are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: \rho=\ldots \\
& H_{A}: \rho
\end{aligned}
$$

## Step II Check Conditions:

* $\qquad$
$\qquad$ : A $\qquad$ was conducted to insure every member of the population was equally likely to be selected.
* $\qquad$ Sampling Distribution: The sampling distribution of all possible sample proportions has an
approximately $\qquad$ shape because:

* $\qquad$ The lack of replacement is not a problem in this case because the number of subjects in the
population is more than $\qquad$ times the sample size.


## Step III Perform Procedure: Sketch the Sampling Distribution on the back of this page, and shade the P-value. Make it big and easy to read.

$$
\text { Sampling Distribution: Proportion }=\ldots \quad \frac{\sqrt{\rho(1-\rho)}}{\sqrt{n}} \quad=
$$

Shape: Approximately $\qquad$


## Step IV Interpretation:

| We fail to reject the null hypothesis at the | \% significance level (___). The P-value of ___ \% shows that an |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| observed sample proportion as extreme as | \% ( ___ ) would be expected to occur _ \% of the time, and |  |
| thus mere chance could explain the differen |  |  |
| that the proportion of | in the population of |  |
| is not equal to the reported proportion of | \% ( $\rho_{0}$ ). |  |

OR
We reject the null hypothesis at the $\qquad$ \% significance level ( $\qquad$ . The P-value of $\qquad$ \% falls (just below OR well below) the significance level, thus there is (moderate OR strong) evidence that the alternative hypothesis is true, $\qquad$ (___) is $\qquad$ than $\qquad$ \%.

